



INVESTIGATOR'S ANNUAL REPORT

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

All or some of the information you provide may become available to the public.

OMB # (1024-0236)
Exp. Date (11/30/2010)
Form No. (10-226)

Reporting Year: 2006	Park: Shenandoah NP	Select the type of permit this report addresses: Scientific Study	
Name of principal investigator or responsible official: William H. Martin		Office Phone: 3048763219	
Mailing address: Rt. 3, Box 804 Harpers Ferry, WV 25425 US		Office FAX Office Email whmartin@crotalus.org	
Additional investigators or key field assistants (first name, last name, office phone, office email) No co-investigators			
Project Title (maximum 300 characters): Timber Rattlesnake Population Monitoring			
Park-assigned Study or Activity #: SHEN-00058	Park-assigned Permit #: SHEN-2002-SCI-0022	Permit Start Date: Jan 01, 2002	Permit Expiration Date: Dec 31, 2008
Scientific Study Starting Date: Jan 01, 1990		Estimated Scientific Study Ending Date: Dec 31, 2027	
For either a Scientific Study or a Science Education Activity, the status is: Continuing		For a Scientific Study that is completed, please check each of the following that applies: <input type="checkbox"/> A final report has been provided to the park or will be provided to the park within the next two years <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of field notes, data files, photos, or other study records, as agreed, have been provided to the park <input type="checkbox"/> All collected and retained specimens have been cataloged into the NPS catalog system and NPS has processed loan agreements as needed	
Activity Type: Monitoring			
Subject/Discipline: Herpetology (Amphibians / Reptiles)			

Purpose of Scientific Study or Science Education Activity during the reporting year (maximum 4000 characters): 1. Locate overwintering dens and birthing rookeries. 2. Determine movements of snakes. 3. Determine timing of seasonal activities. 4. Determine rates of growth, shedding, maturation, reproduction, and survivorship. 5. Investigate annual variations in life history characteristics. 6. Investigate relationship between weather, acorn production, rodents, and rattlesnake reproduction. 7. Investigate relationship between weather and failure to bring the young to term. 8. Determine long-term population trends.
Findings and status of Scientific Study or accomplishments of Science Education Activity during the reporting year (maximum 4000 characters): <p>A total of 10 days were spent in Shenandoah NP in 2006 from 25 April to 10 October. Ten separate sites were visited, one of them four times. A total of 130 rattlesnakes older than the newborn-stage were seen. Of 114 sub-adult to adult (4-years old and older), 33 were reproductive during '06. The demographic breakdown by year-cohort for 16 juveniles was two post-shed '06 young-of-year, four from '05, four from '04, three from '03, and three from '02. In addition a minimum of eight litters ('06 cohort) were observed.</p> <p>Thirty-eight rattlesnakes were observed on 25 April at three separate denning areas encompassing about a mile of mountainside 1300</p>

to 1700 feet elevation. One hibernaculum was newly discovered that day. Upon retuning to this same area on 10 October, near the end of ingress, 10 rattlesnakes were seen. Parturition apparently commenced sometime between 18 and 25 August with 12 pregnant females seen on the former date at the same site which was visited on 25 April and 10 October. Upon returning to the site on 25 August, seven postpartum females and five litters of pre-shed newborn were found. The last date on which a pregnant female was observed in Shenandoah was 6 September when three postpartum females with litters and one pregnant female were seen at about 2600 feet elevation.

Reproduction was low to moderate in 2006 with the exception of the site surveyed on 18 and 25 August. Twelve pregnant females, plus 17 copperheads, most of which appeared to be gestating females were observed. Considering that the weather conditions were not favorable for viewing snakes on either day, the number compares favorably to the highest number of reproductive females recorded at the site (19 in September of 2003).

The big reproductive cohorts that gave birth in 2000 and 2003 should have done so again on a 3-year cycleâ the norma but most failed to do so. The most likely cause would be a failure of the mast crop at a critical time.

For Scientific Studies (not Science Education Activities), were any specimens collected and removed from the park but not destroyed during analysis?	
No	
Funding specifically used in this park this reporting year that was provided by NPS (enter dollar amount):	Funding specifically used in this park this reporting year that was provided by all other sources (enter dollar amount):
\$0	\$2000
List any other U.S. Government Agencies supporting this study or activity and the funding each provided this reporting year:	

<p>Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.625 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the forms. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to Dr. John G. Dennis, Natural Resources (3127 MIB), National Park Service, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20240.</p>
